

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012)

PROPOSED RULE MAKING			(Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making			
Agency: Dept. of Agriculture				of use for expedited full making		
 ☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 16-20-108; or ☐ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; o ☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1). 			r 📗 Sup	ginal Notice plemental Notice to WSR tinuance of WSR		
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject) Chapter 16-233 WAC – Worker Protection Standard. The Department is proposing to adopt the revised federal Worker Protection Standard specified in 40 C.F.R. §170 (Worker Protection Standard).						
Hearing location(s):			Submit wri	tten comments to:		
WA Dept. of Agriculture 222 North Havana Spokane, WA 99202 Conference Room 202 Date: <u>January 10, 2017</u> Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>	WA Dept. of Agriculture 21 North First Avenue Yakima, WA 98902 Conference Room 238 Date: <u>January 11, 2017</u> Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>	WA Dept. of Transportation 4100 Cedardale Road Mt. Vernon, WA 98274 Main Conference Room Date: <u>January 13, 2017</u> Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>	Name: Henri Gonzales, Rules Coordinator Address: PO Box 42560 Olympia, WA 98504-2560 e-mail wsdarulescomments@agr.wa.gov fax (360) 902-2094 by January 13, 2017			
Date of intended adoption.			for persons with disabilities: Contact			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Agency receptionist by January 4, 2017			
<u>January 27, 2017</u>			TTY (800) <u>833-6388</u> or <u>711</u>			
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) updated their Worker Protection Standard (40 C.F.R. §170). This regulation specifies workplace practices designed to reduce or eliminate exposure to pesticides and establishes procedures for responding to exposure-related emergencies. This regulation is intended to reduce the risks of illness or injury to workers and handlers resulting from occupational exposures to pesticides used in the production of agricultural plants on agricultural establishments. Most of the new federal regulation goes into effect January 2017; with four provisions going into effect January 2018. Some of the revisions to the new regulation include provisions regarding: training, posting and recordkeeping of safety data sheets, notification of treated areas for restricted-entry intervals, minimum age for handlers and early-entry workers, application exclusion zones, display of basic pesticide safety information, personal protective equipment, emergency eye flushing, and information to be provided to medical personnel treating victims. On March 31, 2016, the Department requested equivalency from EPA in an area of the Worker Protection Standard (WPS) regarding						
decontamination water for handlers and early-entry workers. WAC 16-233-150(4) and WAC 16-233-250(6) currently requires employers to provide at least ten gallons of water for one employee (handlers or early-entry workers) and 20 gallons of water for two or more employees (handlers or early-entry workers) at sites that do not have running water. The revised federal WPS requires employers to provide at least three gallons of water for each early-entry worker and handler. EPA approved the Department's request for equivalency on October 27, 2016.						
Reasons supporting proposal: RCW 17.21.440(2)(b) requires the Department to adopt rules for safety and health standards that are at least as effective as the federal standard. Revising chapter 16-233 WAC to adopt the new federal Worker Protection Standard is necessary to remain uniform with current federal regulations. Although, agricultural employers are still required to follow the new federal regulation whether we adopt it or not because it is incorporated onto pesticide labeling through reference. Both state and federal regulations prohibit the use of a product inconsistent with its labeling.						
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.58.040, RCW 17.21.030, and chapter 34.05 RCW			Statute being implemented: Chapters 15.58 and 17.21 RCW			
Is rule necessary because Federal Law? Federal Court Decision's State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: 40 C.F.R. §170	⊠ Ves	□ No ☑ No ☑ No		CODE REVISER USE ONLY DEFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED		
DATE December 7, 2016	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			E: December 07, 2016 E: 10:47 AM		
NAME (type or print) Brent L. Barnes			WS	R 16-24-092		
SIGNATURE						
TITLE Assistant Director						

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal							
matters: None							
Name of proponent: (person or organization) Washington State Dept. of Agriculture			Private Public Governmental				
Name of agency personn	nel responsible for:						
Name		Office Location	Phone				
Drafting Joel Kangiser		1111 Washington Street SE, Olympia, WA 98504-2560	(360) 902-2013				
ImplementationJoel Kangiser		1111 Washington Street SE, Olympia, WA 98504-2560	(360) 902-2013				
Enforcement Alberto Isiordia		1111 Washington Street SE, Olympia, WA 98504-2560	(360) 902-2036				
Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has a school district fiscal impact statement been prepared under section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?							
☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement or school district fiscal impact statement.							
A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting: Name: Address:							
Phone (fax (e-mail)						
specified in 40 C.F.R. §170. F	Per RCW 19.85.061 an a	This rule amendment is adopting the updated federal Worker Progency is not required to complete a Small Business Economic Informity or compliance, or both, with federal statute or regulations.	mpact Statement				
Is a cost-benefit analysis re	equired under RCW 34.0	05.328?					
Yes A preliminary co Name: Address:	ost-benefit analysis may l	be obtained by contacting:					
phone() fax (<u>)</u> e-mail		_					
⊠ No: Please explain: The Washington State Dept. of Agriculture is not a listed agency under RCW 34.05.328(5)(a)(i).							